

Consultative Partners Conference Call
 El Paso MPO – Amendment to Horizon 2040 MTP and New 2045 MTP

Meeting Location: El Paso MPO hosting Conference Call
Meeting Date: Monday, February 13, 2017 at 1pm MST/ 2pm CST

Name	Company	Present	Name	Company	Present
Bob Bielek	TxDOT El Paso	X	Raquel Lopez	El Paso MPO	X
Marty Boyd	TxDOT El Paso	X	Christina Stokes	El Paso MPO	X
Eddie Valtier	TxDOT El Paso	X	Jackie Ploch	TxDOT ENV	X
Rebecca Pinto	TxDOT El Paso	X	Janie Temple	TxDOT TPP	X
Hugo Hernandez	TxDOT El Paso	X	Tim Juarez	TxDOT TPP	X
Art Estrada	TxDOT El Paso	X	Laura Norton	TxDOT TPP	X
Jamie Zech	TCEQ Texas	X	Tammye Fontenot	TxDOT TPP	X
Sierra Redding	TCEQ Texas	X	Jose Campos	FHWA TX	X
Margaret Earnest	TCEQ Texas	X	Genevieve Bales	FHWA TX	X
Morris Brown	TCEQ Texas	X	Barbara Maley	FHWA TX	X
Mary McGarry-Barber	TCEQ Texas	X	Anthony Jones	FHWA TX	X
Aaron Slevin	TCEQ Texas	X	Dennis Perkinson	TTI	X
Caitlin Camp	TCEQ Texas	X	Jeffrey Riley	EPA	X
Nicolas Parke	TCEQ Texas	X	Jonathan Mosteiro	Alliance	X
Greg Heitmann	FHWA NM	X	Jim Harvey	Alliance	X
Jolene Herrera	NMDOT	X			
Roger Williams	El Paso MPO	X			
Mayela Granados	El Paso MPO	X			
Sonia Perez	El Paso MPO	X			
Claudia Valles	El Paso MPO	X			
Bryan Miskimen	El Paso MPO	X			

Meeting Notes:

- 1) Introductions of those present were given.
- 2) **An overview of the amended Horizon 2040 MTP was given by Roger Williams**– MPO. The TPB directed MPO at 01/27/17 meeting to amend the MTP for categories 4 and 12 to add projects using funds in those categories in FY 2019. Ten years were broken down in two five year segments that was necessary for amendment to get projects in the plan. Project information and timeline was sent to the group.

The following list was provided to the consultative partners:

Item#3 backup information from TPB 02/17/17 Meeting:

Discussion and action to approve the recommendation from the Transportation Project Advisory Committee (TPAC) to focus the amendment to the Horizon 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), the Horizon 2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Horizon 2040 Transportation Conformity Report for the following projects, and elements related to these projects, for funding, added capacity changes and project consistency with EPMPPO, State and Federal documents:

- a. I-10 Connect project from Loop 375 (Cesar Chavez) to Yandell Drive. (TXDOT)
 - Additional TX state funding.
 - Operational Improvement (detail coding).
- b. Deprogram Bridge of the Americas (BOTA) Fast Secure Lane project. (City of El Paso)
 - With the construction of the I-10 Connect project the BOTA Fast Lane project will be removed from the model and deprogrammed.
 - Operational modification.
- c. US 62/180 Montana Avenue mainlanes and frontage roads projects from Global Reach Drive to Zaragoza Road. (TXDOT)
 - Additional TX state funding
 - Modification to project scope
 - Changes to 2020 and 2030 network years.
 - Conformity determination is necessary to amend these projects.
- d. Loop 375 (Purple Heart Boulevard) mainlane and frontage road project from US 62/180 Montana to Spur 601. (TXDOT)
 - Additional TX state funding.
 - Conformity determination may be necessary to amend this project if adding lanes to frontage roads.
- e. Loop 375 (Americas Avenue) toll and mainlanes projects from Zaragoza Road to Bob Hope Drive. (TXDOT)
 - Adjust funding categories
 - Currently two projects in EPMPPO documents with managed lanes project south of IH-10 and added lanes project north of IH-10.
- f. Eastlake Boulevard Phase I and Phase II projects from IH-10 to Horizon Boulevard. (County of El Paso and Horizon City)
 - TPB resolution: 2013 County of El Paso Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CCMP).
 - Modification to limits on Phase I (IH-10 to 0.25 miles west of Darrington) and added lanes starting in 2020 network year on Phase II (Darrington to Horizon Boulevard).
 - Conformity determination is necessary to amend these projects.
- g. FM1110 Phase I and Phase II projects from IH-10 to Alameda Avenue. (County of El Paso)
 - TPB resolution: 2013 CCMP
 - Adding lanes starting in 2020 network year
 - Phase I (IH-10 to North Loop) Phase II (North Loop to Alameda)
 - Conformity determination is necessary to amend these projects.
- h. Airport Road project from NM 136 (Pete Dominici Highway) to the Santa Teresa, NM, Airport Entrance. (Dona Ana County)
 - New Mexico 2015 Legislative Capital Outlay funds.
 - Adding lanes starting in 2020 network year.
 - Conformity determination is necessary to amend this projects.

Commented [RW1]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify this project for future funding and not being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Commented [RW2]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to delete the item for this project from their agenda.

Commented [RW3]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify this project as being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Commented [RW4]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify this project for future funding and not being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

But TXDOT-El Paso District has since requested a related project to the 2040 network since it is necessary to complete the ultimate for this frontage road project.

Commented [RW5]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify this project for future funding and not being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Commented [RW6]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify these projects as being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Commented [RW7]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify these projects as being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Commented [RW8]: FYI, the TPB action at their 2/17/2017 meeting was to identify this project as being a conformity issue in the amendment to the EPMPPO documents.

Roger stated the following issues per the above projects:

- 3a. I-10 Connect is 90% schematic, not a conformity issue but it is modeled.
- 3b. Local funded in documents. Proposing to remove this project, reviewing schematics. This project is in connection with 3a.
- 3c. More than 1 project. Using big chunk of funds coming to region. Entire project is for mainlanes. Requires modifications in 2020 and 2030 networks and how its modeled. 3-4 pieces to projects scattered through the plan.

Q&A

Q1. It was stated that it is 2019 monies however it impacts the 2020 network year? (Barbra)

A1: That is correct, 2020 is not all inclusive of what needs to be there. The project needs to be coded correctly in the model and identified in the financials to show \$121 million. As the project is currently described in the MPO documents needs to be fixed. MPO is working with the MPO working group to get the project correct in the 2020 and in the 2030 networks. (Roger)

Q2: If funded in 2019 how much of the project will be open in 2020? (Jose)

A2: Programmed in 2019, and it is expected to be open completely in 2020. (Roger)

Roger continued with the following issues per the projects:

- 3d. Additional state funding coming in. This may need conformity, but need to see if additional capacity is needed. We need to see how many lanes are needed to see if conformity is needed.
- 3e. In 2018, the financials will have minor changes in funding categories. This project has tolls and that is not changing, and there are no modeling issues with this project.
- 3fgh. These are not TXDOT's request. TPB passed as high priority but projects not in the original conformity and we need to bring them in. Darrington Road is where Eastlake Phase 1 and Phase 2 projects come together. This will require an amendment to the limits of Eastlake Phase 1.
- 3f. Eastlake Phase 2 is not in the conformity and we need to bring into the plan. This requires conformity determination.
- 3g. Requires conformity, FM 1110 Phase I is from IH-10 to SH 20 (Alameda). FM 1110 Phase II North Loop to Alameda adding 2 lanes, build project. Both projects need to be modeled starting in 2020 (Both projects sponsored by the County of El Paso, but let and managed by TXDOT).
- 3h. This is a NM Project, will be modeled starts in 2020 network that will add capacity. The project has NM legislative funds.

Issues to address with timeline:

- 1. February – July; to accomplish timeline we must stay in line to do so as this is an aggressive schedule
- 2. March 22nd – April 20th; public review
- 3. The timeline for the emissions modeling is where the MPO will need help. It is very tight. MPO is working to get the TDM information prepared. We are depending on TTI emissions results returned to the MPO so that we can finalize the conformity report.
- 4. MPO must get all this done in time frame leading into public involvement to stay on schedule.

Q&A:

Q3. Can 3f and 3g be added lanes and open to the public? (Barbra)

A3. 3f has less concerns, 3g some of the project is new build. But 3f and 3g projects are scheduled to be open to the public by end of 2020 network. (Roger).

Q4. How will you do all this and have it all ready by 2020 network (FHWA)

A4. The agencies have all committed to a schedule to be complete and open to the public by December 31, 2020

5. Conformity document will be developed using emissions from the MOVES model. MPO needs guidance to move forward as there is no MOVES budget in the SIP.

6 For the original Horizon 2040 MTP conformity there was no consensus reached for the Pre Analysis Consensus Plan. The EPMPO has a two-step process since we are not able to run the emissions model in house.

Q&A:

Q5. Looking at the timeline, work on emissions must be completed before going to public involvement. Is this doable? (Roger)

A5. It can happen with no slack. Its tight but can be done. (Dennis)

Q6. MPO was giving 90 days for consultative partners review. Is this the amount of time required? (Roger)
A6. 90 days is the norm, should be able to do it in 90 days. Making sure all information is there, clean document, etc. (Jose).

TxDOT and TTI are making the schedule. Right now no slack, pointed out. If need to run scenarios more than once it will be hard.

Tim Juarez stated that TP&P must make sure communication is consistent to meet the schedule. TP&P commits to try to stay on schedule. MPO and the Region are committed to making this happen. Keeping communication active, bringing the workgroup every 2 weeks. Working with partners to stay on track and involving all partners in the process.

Janie Temple stated that this is under the contract, however may need to amend it to authorize funds. Ms. Temple will work on an amendment to send to the MPO.

Q&A:

Q7. The funding acted on by commission March TTC. (Jose)

A7. Yes/ March will have documents out for the public review. (Roger)

Q8. Public Involvement ends April 20th. TPB approval is pending TTC approval of funds? (Jose)

A8. Yes, public Involvement and TTC approval of funds is over before TPB approves documents.

Q9. When talking about no budget, do you mean Ozone? (Jamie Zech)

A9. No, not referring to new Ozone standards.

Q10. Requesting guidance on the use of MOBILE-based motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) with a MOVES-based regional emissions analysis for the transportation conformity determination as MOVES-based MVEBs do not currently exist in the SIP (Roger)

A10. For the purposes of this conformity determination, per guidance from the consultative partners, it was recommended to use the previous MOBILE-based MVEBs for the transportation conformity determination for the amended MTP/TIP conformity.

Q11. Will you be using Limited maintenance plan for CO? EPA signed but not published. But if finished, it's possible that approval will make it faster for Carbon Monoxide (CO). Limited Maintenance Plan for Carbon Monoxide, and still have hot spot analysis for PM-10 (Jamie Zech)

A11. To clarify, using same MOBILE-based motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs). (Per Ms. Zech, yes). Also, MPO may not be dealing with CO due to Limited Maintenance Plan. (Roger)

Per Jeff Riley, Timeline of Conformity should be okay, waiting game for now.

Q12. Is this a hope for the best, prepare for the worst as it relates to the CO Limited Maintenance Plan? (Roger)

A12. Not sure of way to do initial time of work that's not waste of time. Not sure of exact date just that its 60 days.

Jackie Ploch stated; let's hope for the best, plan for the worst. Will contact partners to see what she can do.

Roger stated that EPA will do what it can to publish in timeframe.

Ms. Ploch stated that she will work with the Feds to see what can be done.

Jeff stated job is ongoing, top item, updates on progress are regularly.

Roger asked Tim if he could help the MPO get reports out to the group. Tim agreed to see what the necessary steps were. Will meet every 2 weeks and get back to the group. EPA and TCEQ have provided necessary steps, approach of what to do.

Jeff stated EPA will not keep updates on hold, will get information out to everyone.

Janie stated will need to do pre-analysis consensus plan.

Roger stated he will get information ready to show and send out. MPO knows this amendment is highest priority.

3. Discussed Development of New 2045 MTP by Roger Williams

1. June 5, 2018 is the date that the four clock will run out on Horizon 2040 MTP. The MPO has had training on the new model (2012 TDM), Also, the contract was finalized last week and went to Alliance to assist the MPO with the development of the new 2045 MTP

- Fiscal Agent held up process for 2 months, therefore MPO lost 2+ months.
- MPO lost a lot of time. The schedule is very aggressive and will need to be adjusted by 2 months.

Mr. Perkinson stated it should be okay its happened before and things have worked out. Roger stated that the MPO is working to fix issues, but just heads up on developing the 2045 planning assumptions and working with the group to develop the plan. MPO will schedule the kickoff meeting.

Mr. Juarez stated that he will visit with the Region this Thursday and Friday to see if changes are needed. The master schedule will go out by the 17th.

Roger stated that with information given it can be seen how it will impact the plan. If on time for 4 year clock, develop new MTP and TIP. But MPO already working with partners on expectation and with locals. New plan following the requirements of the national goals. MPO is prioritizing projects, will develop public outreach schedule, and what projects quality per funding.

Continue discussion on overview of the amended Horizon 2040 MTP

Mr. Campos stated goal development, pre-analysis concessions plan. Asked for a timeline for the plan. What will be the base year of the plan, this TDM is using 2007 base year.

Roger stated that the MPO will begin work on requests to send out to everyone. Roger informed Mr. Perkinson that for Emission it would be March 10-March 22 and Mr. Perkinson stated it was okay. Roger will proceed and give information to the group.

- 3) The meeting adjourned at 2:30pm

Meeting Location: El Paso MPO hosting Conference Call
Meeting Date: Monday, March 20, 2017 at 9:07am MST/ 10:07am CST

Name	Company	Present	Name	Company	Present
Mimi Horn	TxDOT El Paso	X	Raquel Lopez	El Paso MPO	X
Marty Boyd	TxDOT El Paso	X	Christina Stokes	El Paso MPO	X
Eddie Valtier	TxDOT El Paso		Jackie Ploch	TxDOT ENV	X
Rebecca Pinto	TxDOT El Paso	X	Janie Temple	TxDOT TPP	X
Hugo Hernandez	TxDOT El Paso	X	Tim Juarez	TxDOT TPP	
Art Estrada	TxDOT El Paso	X	Laura Norton	TxDOT TPP	X
Jamie Zech	TCEQ Texas	X	Tammye Fontenot	TxDOT TPP	
Sierra Redding	TCEQ Texas		Jose Campos	FHWA TX	X
Margaret Earnest	TCEQ Texas		Genevieve Bales	FHWA TX	X
Morris Brown	TCEQ Texas		Barbara Maley	FHWA TX	X
Mary McGarry-Barber	TCEQ Texas	X	Anthony Jones	FHWA TX	
Aaron Slevin	TCEQ Texas	X	Dennis Perkinson	TTI	X
Caitlin Camp	TCEQ Texas	X	Jeffrey Riley	EPA	X
Nicolas Parke	TCEQ Texas				
Greg Heitmann	FHWA NM	X			
Jolene Herrera	NMDOT				
Roger Williams	El Paso MPO	X			
Mayela Granados	El Paso MPO	X			
Sonia Perez	El Paso MPO	X			
Claudia Valles	El Paso MPO	X			
Bryan Miskimen	El Paso MPO				

Meeting Notes:

- 1) Introductions of those present were given.
- 2) Roger (MPO) went over information sent out on March 10, 2017:
 - a. PCP Analysis- Horizon 2040 Amendment
 - b. Appendix G Re-validation of 2007 TDM - El Paso Horizon MTP
 - c. Updated Key Chart – Amended 2040 MTP Matrix
- 3) Stated this call was for the Horizon 2040 MTP Amendment that was not changing the original planning assumptions or demographics projections from the original Horizon 2040 MTP, but just adding the 8 new projects from the Updated Key Chart affecting Conformity.
 - a. Jose Campos (FHWA) reviewed the Pre Consensus Plan Analysis document and asked for clarification on the following:
 1. Validation was conducted in 2007 however its dated 2014?
-Validation for 2007 base year TDM was done in 2014. It was re-validated in 2014 which is why the report is dated 2014.
 2. Report 2014 was not the original validation?
-No, originally was done by Alliance but the document was update due to the changes made.
 3. Was the re-validation done after Conformity?
- Yes it was after the original Conformity. MPO modified due to incorporations to 2007 base year.
Brief explanation of what was being done was given during the previous 02/13/17 Consultative Partners call and that was when MPO asked for guidance on the use of MOBILE-based motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) with a MOVES-based regional emissions analysis for the transportation conformity determination as MOVES-based MVEBs do not currently exist in the SIP.
- 4) Roger stated that the MPO was moving forward with a full Conformity to include Carbon Monoxide since the rule is not published yet on limited maintenance plan for CO, therefore, it is best to move forward with the development of the CO conformity.
- 5) Projects from the Updated Key Chart were discussed. One additional project was added to the list from when it originally went out to the group on March 10th, the Montana Phase II project.
- 6) Roger described the Pre Analysis Consensus Plan and language added under the Planning detail:
 - a. Table 3: State Implementation Plan
 1. The CO maintenance plan was added.
 2. The 2010 Budget was explained.
 3. There were no transportation control measures.
 4. Under other; Doña Ana County, NM Natural Events Action Plan was language is standard
 - b. Table 4: Conformity Analysis years
 1. Base year – 2007.
 2. Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget Years added.
 - c. Table 5: Demographics, no changes
 - d. Table 6: Travel Demand Model, some changes were made.
 - e. Table 7: Seasonal Weekday Hourly VMT Distributions, TTI added information.
 - f. TTI added rest of the information in the document. A lot was updated, some information stayed the same. MPO plugged in what it could do and dropped in more information throughout the document.
7. Jose Campos asked the following:
 - a. TCM Analysis, no TCM in?
-Roger stated; No, there weren't any TCM's, MPO has not taken credit for them in a while in the SIP.
 - b. Street sweeping & Alley pavement, in terms of documentation?
-Jeff Riley (EPA) stated: No, they were never identified as transportation control measures. EPA approved as early implemented control measures so no need to document them as transportation control measures in the SIP.
 - c. Emissions for 2007/2010 (Dennis to ask further information from Jose)
- Dennis Perkinson (TTI) stated: Already have 2010, asked if 2007 was needed so that he could run it.
 - d. Attainment years for SIP pollutants that we have opposed to dates of SIP. Motor vehicle budget years for 2010 & 2020 for CO.
- Claudia Valles (MPO) stated: MPO added budget that was approved from EPA.

- Jose stated: MPO needed to include it for documentation opposed to naming it.
- e. Table 6, Adjustment factors list VMT as 1.06.
 - Dennis stated: Changed slightly updated numbers, however they use the latest information available to them rather than what is noted.
- f. Seasonal Adjustments:
 - Dennis stated: Latest available, historical AADT 07&10 are actuals.
- g. Page 8 of 15 VMT Mix year end, 6th bullet; stating using 2014 midyear?
 - Mary McGarry-Barber (TCEQ): Why are we calling it latest available 2014, why not 2016?
 - Dennis stated no info for 2016 yet.
 - Janie Temple (TP&P) stated: Data not available yet. Information has been requested from data pool. Keying in every couple of months, but reports are not available yet to TP&P. TP&P does not have access to Texas Workforce Census information anymore so it has to be requested.
- h. Table 4 – Analysis Years: Do we need to run analysis for 2007 also for 2010? Would we be prepared to run 2017?
 1. Attainment date
 2. Mid-year
 3. Horizon year
 - Dennis stated: 2010 has been done. 2007 may be of interest to run just because of the change from MOBILE to MOVES, however your call. 2010 in the basket but won't run 2007 if not needed. **Consensus from group not to run 2007 as it's a historical year and there is no need for it. Will be documented.**

**(No more comments from Jose Campos)*

- 8. Comments from Jamie Zech (TCEQ)- Minor comments will send to MPO via e-mail no need to discuss during the call
- 9. Barbra Maley (FHWA) thanked MPO for working with her on language of project description and making adjustments.
 - Roger stated: Changes would be implemented to the documents to update the descriptions, however these changes pose no issue as far as what is modeled in the TDM and the emissions modeling. MPO making adjustments on all documents to show consistency. TXDOT offered to help MPO with descriptions if needed.

**Mimi Horn (TXDOT) joined the call 9:46am.*

- 10. Roger asked the group if there was consensus from the group to move forward with beginning the public involvement? Would advertise for public involvement this Sunday to begin March 29th – April 27th. Also, necessary to move the April TPB meeting by one week to April 28th
 - Consensus from the group was received to move forward with Public Involvement.**
- 11. Roger stated that it is important that the group understand that the MPO would like to moved forward with public involvement by presenting what has changed from the original documents. For public review it would be the changes to TIP and MTP along with documentation to support Fiscal Constraint and a new Conformity document
 - Jose asked:
 - a. From a processing stand point, that is good, but per documentation will it show changes?
 - Roger stated: Yes, MPO will consolidated the MTP project list to incorporate new projects into the document once the TPB has approved the changes. The projects that are deprogrammed will no longer be in the MTP project list.
 - b. Will it show new projects with corresponding deletions?
 - Yes, documentation for public involvement will show changes, to include deletions of projects in the MTP project list, and MPO will direct the public to the [MPO web page](#) for the original documents.
- 12. Roger said that the intent is to submit the Conformity by May 1st to the Consultative Partners. Also, will submit to the TIP to the STIP for May Revisions contingent on a Conformity determination. The MPO would need to do this in order to meet deadlines unless the Consultative Partners said no, asked for concurrence.
 - Genevieve Bales (FHWA) stated: FHWA could not approve projects for May STIP until conformity.
 - Roger stated: that is correct. The approval in the STIP would need to be approved after the determination for conformity. For clarification the April TPB meeting would be moved to April 28th where approval of documents would be requested. Direction from Lori Morel (FHWA) has been requested regarding this matter as the submittal date for the TIP into the STIP has not been provided to the EPMPO.
 - Jose stated: okay, he may have some questions that would need to be answered when the time is approached.

Commented [RW1]: FYI MPO will also have copies of original documents at public meetings.

-Jose also stated: To make sure to coordinate with Barbra to make language and text changes to descriptions of projects in the documents.

13. Roger stated that the MPO would coordinated with agencies on recommended changes to documents.
Roger then asked if MPO needed to moved forward with the Pre-Consensus plan? Is MPO's understanding that TTI was ready to give MPO information so that we can move forward with the conformity report, which was in the current FHWA approved format.
-Janie stated that TCEQ is only making minor changes and would send in an email
-Dennis stated that TTI will provide analysis notes on or before March 29th with analysis notes.
-Jose stated: As of right now, Yes, and for the MPO to continue, knowing additional information to be provided down the line.
-Dennis recommended for Roger to show current numbers in the Pre-Consensus Plan, and to date hard coded "Current State of Knowledge. To be Confirmed in the Future."
-Janie asked to also note that if changes could not be made now, to note that they will be updated.
14. Roger asked if there was new information on parameter?
-Dennis stated: TP&P had information because they had worked on it.
15. Roger summarized that the MPO was to date hard coded "**Current State of Knowledge. To be Confirmed in the Future.**" move forward with the conformity report.
16. Roger asked if Consultative Partners were okay with the Pre-Analysis Consensus Plan?
-Jose stated: Yes, with the understanding that there is work to be done, will look at the numbers, will still see changes.
- Dennis concurred.
-Roger stated: MPO will note that this is what was known at the time.
17. Jeff Riley stated just as a follow up to question on page 1, EPA approval. A week and a half ago the direct final approval was re-signed last Monday. It was sent to the Federal Register and EPA is waiting on final publication date to be in the Federal Register. There may be a delay between Publication and rule making effective date.

ACTION Items:

1. MPO side call with Barbra Maley
2. Call notes
3. Dennis' sending Emissions Summary for conformity report (by COB 03/20/17 or 03/21/17).
4. MPO Move forward with Public Involvement.
5. Janie directed Dennis to send information directly to MPO and copy Laura Norton.

Call adjourned at 10:21am MST/ 11:21am CST.

Claudia Valles

From: Mayela Granados
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:56 AM

Subject: El Paso MPO Pre-Analysis Consensus Plan and 03/20/17 Consultative Call Notes
Attachments: PCP Analysis-Horizon 2040 Amendment AFTER 3 20 MEETING-2.pdf; MPO Horizon 2040 MTP Amendment Consultative Partner Call Notes 032017.docx

Dear Consultative Partners,

Attached are notes from the 3-20-17 consultative partners conference call and the updated Pre Analysis Consensus Plan.

The updated Pre Analysis Consensus Plan includes the following statement **“CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE AS OF MARCH 24, 2017, TO BE CONFIRMED IN THE FUTURE.**

Also, minor changes from TCEQ are highlighted in yellow (Jamie, please let us know if this is not correct). The MPO has highlighted one area per FHWA in green, page 4 and 5 (Jose, please let us know if this is not correct).

One additional project was added by the MPO in the explanation, on page 2, highlighted in blue.

The EPMPO's takeaway from the consultative call on 3-20-17 is that consensus has been reached on the Pre Analysis Consensus Plan with the understanding that this is the current state of knowledge as of today, March 24, 2017 and the information in the Pre Analysis Consensus Plan will be confirmed in the future. Please let us know if otherwise.

Also, the MPO will continue to coordinate with FHWA concerning the description of projects for the TIP and MTP. None of these changes affect the TDM or emissions analysis.

The EPMPO will continue to move forward with the development of the amendment and the DRAFT Transportation Conformity Report.

Thank you all, and please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mayela Granados

Administrative Secretary

El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization

211 N. Florence, Suite 202

El Paso, TX 79901

Hours: Monday - Friday 8am to 5pm

Main Ph: (915) 212-0258

Direct Line: (915) 212-7102

Fx: (915) 212-0257

E-mail: mgranados@elpasompo.org

Website: www.elpasompo.org